Office of Regulatory Management

Economic Review Form

Agency name	Department of Motor Vehicles	
Virginia Administrative	Class A Third Party Tester Driving School Curriculum	
Code (VAC) Chapter	Requirements	
citation(s)		
VAC Chapter title(s)	N/A	
Action title	Repeal Class A Third Party Tester Driving School Curriculum	
	Requirements guidance document	
Date this document	3/21/24	
prepared		
Regulatory Stage		
(including Issuance of		
Guidance Documents)		

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.			
Indirect Costs &	The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce			
Benefits	any changes that wou	ald present any direct costs.		
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: Describe the	indirect costs of the proposed change.		
		of the guidance document does not introduce		
		ald present any indirect costs.		
		e direct benefits of this proposed change		
	here.	1 1		
	The proposed repeal	of the guidance document would eliminate		
		document and remove unnecessary		
	requirements.	·		
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the	he indirect benefits of the proposed change.		
	The proposed repeal	of the guidance document does not introduce		
	any changes that wou	ald present any indirect benefits		
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
Wiolictized values	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00		
	(a) \$0.00	(6) \$0.00		
(3) Net Monetized	\$0.00			
Benefit	\$0.00			
(4) Other Costs &	The proposed regulatory change would eliminate an obsolete guidance			
Benefits (Non-	document and remove unnecessary requirements.			
Monetized)				
(5) Information	N/A			
Sources				
Sources				

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.		
Indirect Costs &	Maintenance of the status quo would not present any direct costs.		
Benefits	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.		
(Monetized)	Maintenance of the status quo would result in the retaining		
	outdated burdensome requirements.		
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change		
	here.		
	Maintenance of the status quo would not present any direct		
	benefits.		
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change.		
	Maintenance of the status quo would not present any indirect		
	benefits.		

(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0.00	
(4) 0.1 0 + 0	N.T.	
(4) Other Costs &	None.	
Benefits (Non-		
Monetized)		
(5) Information	N/A	
Sources		
Sources		

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

Table 1c. Costs and	Denemis under Alternative A	approach(cs)		
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.			
Indirect Costs &	As the sole alternative is the status quo, the alternative approach			
Benefits	would not present any direct costs.			
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: Describe the	indirect costs of the proposed change.		
		e is the status quo, the alternative approach		
		y indirect costs that are not discussed above.		
	Direct Benefits: Describe the here.	e direct benefits of this proposed change		
	As the sole alternativ	e is the status quo, the alternative approach		
	would not present an			
		he indirect benefits of the proposed change.		
		e is the status quo, the alternative approach		
	would not present an	y indirect benefits		
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00		
(3) Net Monetized	\$0.00			
Benefit				
(4) Other Costs &	None.			
Benefits (Non-	None.			
Monetized)				
(5) Information	None. The sole alternative is maintenance of the status quo.			
Sources				
				

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

Table 2. Impact on	Eccui i ui tiicis				
(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct costs to local partners. Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change. The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect costs to local partners. Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct benefits to local partners. Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect benefits to local partners.				
(2) Present					
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits			
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00			
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	There are no non-monetized costs or benefits specific to local partners associated with the proposed regulatory action.				
(4) Assistance	As the proposed regulatory action does not present any direct or indirect costs or benefits to local partners, no assistance is required.				
(5) Information Sources	None. The repeal of this guidance document imposes no additional benefit or burden specific to local partners.				

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.
Indirect Costs &	

The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce				
any changes that would present any direct costs to families.				
Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect	costs of the proposed change.			
The proposed repeal of the gu	uidance document does not introduce			
any changes that would prese	ent any indirect costs to families.			
Direct Benefits: Describe the direct b	penefits of this proposed change			
here.				
The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct benefits to families. Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce				
any changes that would prese	the dry mancet benefits to families.			
	_, , , , , ,			
	Direct & Indirect Benefits			
(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00			
(3) Other Costs & There are no non-monetized costs or benefits specific to families				
associated with the proposed regulatory action.				
associated with the proposed regulatory detion.				
None. The repeal of this guidance document imposes no additional benefit or burden specific to families.				
	any changes that would prese Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect The proposed repeal of the grany changes that would prese Direct Benefits: Describe the direct there. The proposed repeal of the grany changes that would prese Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect The proposed repeal of the grany changes that would prese Indirect & Indirect Costs (a) \$0.00 There are no non-monetized costs or associated with the proposed regulat None. The repeal of this guidance do			

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.		
Indirect Costs &	The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce		
Benefits	any changes that would present any direct costs to small		
(Monetized)	businesses.		
	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.		
	The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce		
	any changes that would present any indirect costs to small		
	businesses.		
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change		
	here.		
	The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce		
	any changes that would present any direct benefits to small		
	businesses.		
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change.		

	The proposed repeal of the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect benefits to small businesses.				
(2) Present					
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits			
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00			
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	None.				
(4) Alternatives	As noted above, the sole alternative is maintenance of the status quo.				
(5) Information Sources	None.				

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC	Authority of	Initial	Additions	Subtractions	Net Change
Section(s)	Change	Count			
Involved*					
Class A	Statutory:	1	0	1	-1
Third Party	Discretionary:	14	0	14	-14
Tester	-				
Driving					
School					
Curriculum					
Requirements					
				Total Net	-1
				Change of	mandatory
				Statutory	regulant
				Requirements:	
				Total Net	-2
				Change of	discretionary
				Discretionary	agency
				Requirements:	-12
					discretionary
					regulant

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden
Class A Third Party Tester Driving School Curriculum Requirements	Repeal Class A Third Party Tester Driving School Curriculum Requirements guidance document	The proposed regulatory change would eliminate an obsolete guidance document and remove unnecessary requirements.
		requirements.

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in
Document			Length
Class A Third Party	1,154 words	0 words	-1,154 words
Tester Driving			
School Curriculum			
Requirements			

^{*}If the agency is modifying a guidance document that has regulatory requirements, it should report any change in requirements in the appropriate chart(s).